This article was downloaded by: [Texas A&M University-Commerce] On: 18 June 2013, At: 01:41 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Sulfur Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gsrp20

# Investigation of factors influencing sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of polymeric heterogeneous catalysts of transition metal oxides

Bui Dinh Nhi<sup>a</sup>, Akhmadullin Renat Maratovich<sup>a</sup>, Akhmadullina Alfiya Garipovna<sup>a</sup> & Aghajanian Svetlana Ivanova<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Technological Synthetic Rubber, Kazan National Research and Technology University, 420015, Russian Federation, K. Marks St. House 72, Russia Published online: 11 Jun 2013.

To cite this article: Bui Dinh Nhi, Akhmadullin Renat Maratovich, Akhmadullina Alfiya Garipovna & Aghajanian Svetlana Ivanova (2013): Investigation of factors influencing sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of polymeric heterogeneous catalysts of transition metal oxides, Journal of Sulfur

Chemistry, DOI:10.1080/17415993.2013.800062

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17415993.2013.800062</u>

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## Investigation of factors influencing sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of polymeric heterogeneous catalysts of transition metal oxides

Bui Dinh Nhi,\* Akhmadullin Renat Maratovich, Akhmadullina Alfiya Garipovna and Aghajanian Svetlana Ivanova

Department of Technological Synthetic Rubber, Kazan National Research and Technology University, 420015, Russian Federation, K. Marks St. House 72, Russia

(Received 6 March 2013; final version received 24 April 2013)

The activity of heterogeneous catalysts of transition metal oxides and their mixtures deposited on the polymeric matrix in the oxidation of sodium sulfide was investigated. It is shown that mixtures of manganese oxide and other transition metal oxides have high catalytic activity. It was evident that the positive synergistic effect occurred in the combined action of two or more catalytically active transition metal oxides, and the maximum effect was observed in the mixture of three catalytic agents of transition metal oxides. Catalytic mechanism of transition metal oxides for sulfide oxidation was studied. The kinetics of formation of products of sodium sulfide oxidation was studied in the presence of heterogeneous catalysts based on phthalocyanine cobalt and transition metal oxides. The dependence of the rate of formation of products of sodium sulfide oxidation on alkali concentration was investigated. Some factors influencing the oxidation rate such as sulfide, oxygen and alkaline concentrations, antioxidant and oxidation products were investigated.



Polymetric heterogeneous catalyst of transition metal oxides

Keywords: kinetics; catalysis; transition metal oxides; sodium sulfide oxidation; synergistic effect

#### 1. Introduction

Inorganic and organic sulfides are toxic and stinking pollutants even in low levels of concentration.[1] Many industries like oil refineries, petrochemicals, tanneries, kraft paper mills, dyes and pigments, etc., discharge sulfides containing effluents. From the aspect of pollution control, it is necessary to remove sulfides because of their toxicity and unpleasant odor.[2] Sulfide oxidation by air is one of the ways to remove the sulfide. This reaction is very slow at atmospheric

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: vietnamkz@yahoo.com

pressure and ordinary temperature. The liquid-phase heterogeneous catalytic oxidation of toxic sodium sulfide to safer thiosulfate and sulfate is the most perspective method. The oxidation rate can be accelerated by the presence of transition metals, such as Ni, Mn, Cu, Co, Fe, ...[3]

Recently, the use of molecular oxygen as terminal oxidant received great attention for both economic and environmental benefits, and many highly efficient systems have been developed for catalytic aerobic sulfide oxidation using rhenium,[4] palladium,[5] ruthenium,[6] copper [7, 8] manganese,[7] iron,[8–10] cobalt [11] and gold-based catalysts.[12] Transition metal phthalocyanines (mainly cobalt phthalocyanine CoPc) are among the most popular and often studied catalysts for sodium sulfide oxidation.[13–15] The majority of the studies, reported in the current literature, have been carried out in a homogeneous phase. Heterogeneous catalysts offer undoubtedly a better technological solution to this problem. A promising group of heterogeneous catalysts are the transition metal oxides, which possess a high activity in the oxidation of gaseous hydrogen sulfide.[16] But these studies are not sufficient both theoretically and experimentally because of the complex dependency of the reaction rate on oxygen and sulfide concentrations as well as on the pH of the liquid. Furthermore, many intermediates and reaction products seem to make this reaction system a very complicated one.

The aim of the present study is to accumulate data on the catalytic activity of a wide range of transition metal oxides and their mixtures deposited on the polymeric matrix in sulfide ion oxidation with air in alkaline aqueous medium. The catalytic mechanism of a heterogeneous multi-component catalyst of transition metal oxides is studied. And in this paper we discuss some factors influencing the rate of sodium sulfide oxidation, such as alkaline concentration and antioxidant, and propose the kinetics of the reaction of sulfide oxidation. The effect of sodium hydroxide concentration on the kinetics of formation of products of sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of the heterogeneous multi-component oxide catalyst was studied.

#### 2. Results and discussion

The tested catalysts (MnO<sub>2</sub>, CuO) and some of their characteristics are shown in Table 1. It is shown that the rate of oxidation of sodium sulfide increases: temperature increases up to  $60^{\circ}$ C, the concentration of the catalyst component in the polymer matrix increases from 0 to 10 wt% and the catalyst amount (mass) increases to 5.0 g. Further increases do not influence the rate of reaction. These results determine the necessary temperature and concentration of transition metal oxides in the polymer matrix and catalyst content for a definite reaction.

The catalyst surface of  $MnO_2$ -10/CuO-10 was investigated by digital microscope (Figure 1) and Fourier spectrometer (IR spectra, Figure 2). It can be seen that the catalyst surface was not changed after three months of use under relatively vigorous reaction conditions (temperature 60°C, alkaline concentration 5.0% and a strong rotation of solution 1400 rpm). Investigated results

| Oxides Initial rate of oxidation of Na <sub>2</sub> S (g/( $l$ •s)•10 <sup>2</sup> ) |      |      |      |      |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Temperature (°C)   | 40   | 50   | 60   | 70   | 80   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MnO <sub>2</sub>   | 0.7  | 0.81 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CuO  | 0.62 | 0.75 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oxide concentrations in polymer matrix (wt.%)  | 0    | 5    | 10   | 15   | 20   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MnO <sub>2</sub>   | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CuO  | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catalyst content (g)   | 0    | 2.5  | 5.0  | 7.5  | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MnO <sub>2</sub>   | 0.67 | 0.81 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CuO  | 0.67 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Characteristics of oxide catalysts.



Figure 1. (a) The catalyst surface of  $MnO_2$ -10/CuO-10 before reaction. (b) The catalyst surface of  $MnO_2$ -10/CuO-10 after three months of use.



Figure 2. IR spectra of MnO2-10/CuO-10 before reaction and after three months of use.

showed that polymeric heterogeneous catalysts of transition metal oxides have high reactivity in a wide range of concentrations of oxidizable compounds and pH, mechanical strength and chemical and hydrolytic stability for three to five years. Polymer-based catalysts can be used under alkaline conditions at temperatures up to 100°C, pressure up to 7.0 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 2.1. Catalytic mechanism of mixtures of transition metal oxides

It is well known that two main types of mechanisms are usually assumed for heterogeneous catalytic reactions, *i.e.* the so-called Langmuir–Hinshelwood and Rideal-type or Rideal–Eley-type mechanisms. In the first case, the reaction is assumed to occur between adsorbed reactant molecules on the catalyst, while in the case of the second mechanism a reactant molecule from the gas phase reacts with another in the adsorbed state.[17] For the heterogeneous oxidation of the sodium sulfide, it is assumed that both oxygen and sulfide ion are adsorbed on the surface of the metal oxide. Adsorbed oxygen may be present as atomic or molecular species with various charges. On transition metal oxides, the most common species are O,  $O^-$ ,  $O_2$  and  $O_2^-$ . On some basic alkali and alkaline earth oxides,  $O_2^{2-}$  has been reported. On UV-irradiated TiO<sub>2</sub>,  $O_3^{-}$  species has been observed with EPR.[18] Various review articles discussing these and other species such as  $O_3^-$  have appeared in recent years.[19–21] In general, atomic oxygen species are adsorbed more strongly than molecular species. This is because strong surface-atomic oxygen bonds are needed to compensate for the energy required to break the double bond of the oxygen molecule. The rate of dissociative adsorption of oxygen to atomic species is expected to be lower than that of molecular adsorption. This is because a pair of neighboring surface sites must be available for the former process. Otherwise, lattice or surface diffusion of mononuclear oxygen ions (or lattice anion vacancies) is needed before dissociation of the oxygen molecule can be achieved. This is consistent with the fact that a saturation coverage of adsorbed atomic oxygen corresponds to a few atoms per square millimeter of surface. On the other hand, molecular adsorption of oxygen can take place on an isolated surface site. This requirement of the availability of a pair of surface sites can be overcome by sulfide ion  $S^{2-}$ , the oxidation of which would leave an atomic oxygen on the surface. Thus, on different surface sites of the catalyst, the reaction can occur by the following mechanism:

$$\begin{split} M_x O_y + O_2 &\rightleftharpoons M_x O_y \bullet O_2 \\ M_x O_y + O_2 + OH^- &\rightleftharpoons M_x O_y \bullet O_2 \bullet OH^- \\ M_x O_y + S^{2-} + OH^- &\rightleftharpoons M_x O_y \bullet S^{2-} \bullet OH^- \\ M_x O_y \bullet O_2 + S^{2-} + OH^- &\rightleftharpoons M_x O_y \bullet O_2 \bullet S^{2-} \bullet OH^- \\ M_x O_y \bullet O_2 \bullet S^{2-} \bullet OH^- + M_x O_y \bullet S^{2-} \bullet OH^- \rightarrow 2M_x O_y + S_2 O_3^{2-} + H_2 O \\ M_x O_y \bullet O_2 \bullet OH^- + M_x O_y \bullet S^{2-} \bullet OH^- \rightarrow 2M_x O_y + SO_3^{2-} + H_2 O \end{split}$$

Most of the transition metal ions that are important for the oxidation of sodium sulfide in view of possible catalytic reactivity are only stable in the +2 oxidation state in aqueous solution. In order to exhibit catalytic reactivity, these metal ions probably must be oxidized into a higher oxidation state (+3).[22] Thus, it is reasonable to expect an induction period when a transition metal ion in the oxidation state +2 is used as a catalyst for the oxidation of sulfide ion under the conditions that the oxidation of the metal ion itself is slow. There are several suggestions in the literature to account for the general reaction  $M^{2+} \rightarrow M^{3+} + e^-$  and the associated induction period. Coichev and van Eldik [23] suggest a direct oxidation of the metal ion via oxygen  $M^{2+} + O_2 \rightarrow M^{3+} + O_2^-$ , whereas Anast and Margerum [24] propose a disproportion reaction 2 Cu<sup>2+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Cu<sup>+</sup> + Cu<sup>3+</sup>. Intramolecular electron donor–acceptor systems of heterogeneous multi-component catalyst of transition metal oxides have been observed in which manganese ion (IV) accepts an electron from other transition metal ion in the oxidation state +2.

$$Mn^{4+} + Me^{2+}(Cu^{2+}, Ni^{2+}, Co^{2+}) \rightleftharpoons Mn^{3+} + Me^{3+}$$

Higher oxidation state (+3) is always obtained in this system which explains the high catalytic reactivity of mixtures of transition metal oxides with different oxidation states.

Catalytic reactivity of heterogeneous multi-component catalyst of transition metal oxides in the oxidation of sulfur-containing compounds including sodium sulfide (Na<sub>2</sub>S), sodium hydrosulfide

| Heterogeneous multi-<br>component catalyst (5.0 g)           | Sulfur-containing<br>compounds                       | Initial rate $(g/(l \cdot s) \cdot 10^2)$<br>(with catalyst) | Initial rate (g/(l•s)•10 <sup>2</sup> )<br>(without catalyst) |
|--|--|--|---|
| MnO <sub>2</sub> -10/CuO-5/Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -5 | Sodium sulfide (Na <sub>2</sub> S)                   | 2.23   | 0.67  |
| MnO <sub>2</sub> -10/Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -5/NiO-5 | Sodium hydrosulfide (NaSH)                           | 2.49   | 0.71  |
|  | Ammonium sulfide ((NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> S) | 3.69   | 1.36  |

Table 2. The catalytic activity of heterogeneous multi-component catalyst of transition metal oxides in the oxidation of sulfur-containing compounds.

(NaSH) and sulfide ammonium((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S) was investigated. The experimental results shown in Table 2 indicate that this catalyst has high reactivity in the oxidation of all sulfur-containing compounds. In calculating the initial oxidation rate for all experimental runs, it is apparent from Table 2 that the rates of catalyzed reaction are about 3.3, 3.5 and 2.9 times higher than non-catalyzed, corresponding to the oxidation of Na<sub>2</sub>S, NaSH and ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S.

# 2.2. Investigation of some factors influencing the rate of sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of a heterogeneous multi-component catalyst of transition metal oxides

In contrast to the homogeneous catalysis by transition metals, it is more difficult to present a general reaction mechanism for the heterogeneously catalyzed oxidation of sodium sulfide, mainly due to the limited information on the adsorption and redox activities of different surface sites. These activities probably depend on the nature of the metal oxide or hydroxide studied.[25] The pH does not show the influence on the rate of adsorption of oxygen on the surface of a heterogeneous catalyst, but it has a significant effect on the rate of adsorption of sulfur compounds and the rate of formation of the complex with sulfur atom.[26] For uncatalyzed reaction, the reaction rate is not influenced by the pH change during the first part of the reaction.[27]

The influence of hydroxyl anions on the oxidation rate of sulfur compounds in the presence of heterogeneous catalysts can be explained as follows:

(1) reduce the number of radicals in the system, which reduces the rate of reaction by increasing concentration of hydroxyl anion;[28]

(2) the hydroxyl ion functioning as a competitive ligand at the metal center, so the rate of complex formation is decreased;[29]

(3) coordinated hydroxyl anion inhibits the rate of recovery of metal ions.[30]

The industrial catalyst (KS catalyst) for the oxidation of sulfur compounds was prepared according to Ac 1041142. The change of alkali concentration from 0 to 1.125 mol/l has no effect on the rate of the sodium sulfide oxidation in the absence of a catalyst. In the case of industrial KS-catalyst or multi-component catalyst, the alkaline concentration has a profound effect on the rate of sodium sulfide oxidation (Figure 3). Increasing more NaOH concentration in aqueous alkaline solution will decrease the rate of sodium sulfide oxidation.

It is known that the heterogeneous polymeric catalyst has a high level of chemical stability, mechanical strength and stable catalytic activity. But a problem appeared when polyethylene was changed by polypropylene as a polymeric carrier: the oxidation rate decreased significantly. Based on this, the influence of the antioxidant (irganox-1010) on the initial rate of sodium sulfide oxidation was investigated (Figure 4). The rate of sodium sulfide oxidation decreased with increasing antioxidant levels in the polymeric matrix. These results can be explained by the following ways: (1) the antioxidant in a polymer decreased the oxygen consumption and therefore decreased the oxidation rate;[31] (2) antioxidation inhibited electron-transfer processes of transition metal oxides in the polymeric matrix which determines the activity of catalysts or the rate of oxidation of sodium sulfide.[32]



Figure 3. Effect of sodium hydroxide concentration on the rate of the oxidation of sodium sulfide.



Figure 4. Influence of the antioxidant (irganox-1010) on the initial rate of sodium sulfide oxidation.

The oxidation process proceeds from  $Na_2S$  to  $Na_2S_2O_3$ ,  $Na_2SO_3$  or  $Na_2SO_4$  and is expressed by the following equations:

$$2 \operatorname{Na}_2 S + 2 \operatorname{O}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 O = \operatorname{Na}_2 S_2 O_3 + 2 \operatorname{NaOH}$$
(1)

$$Na_2S_2O_3 + 2 NaOH + 2 O_2 = 2 Na_2SO_4 + H_2O$$
(2)

$$2 \operatorname{Na}_2 S + 3 \operatorname{O}_2 = 2 \operatorname{Na}_2 S \operatorname{O}_3 \tag{3}$$

$$Na_2SO_3 + O_2 = Na_2SO_4 \tag{4}$$

$$Na_2S + 2O_2 = Na_2SO_4.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

It is known [33] that the reaction of Equation (5) may proceed far more rapidly than that of Equations (3) and (4). As a result, a considerable amount of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is left in the solution together with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, which is the final oxidized product. In a weakly alkaline medium (pH  $\approx$  12.5), thiosulfate is rapidly oxidized by the presence of a multi-component catalyst. As can be seen from Figure 5, the initial time of the reaction to 70% of sodium sulfide is oxidized to thiosulfate. Thiosulfate and sulfite were not oxidized until the complete disappearance of sodium sulfide in the solution, then its concentration is decreased and the concentration of the final product (sodium



Figure 5. The kinetics of formation of products of sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of the multi-component oxide catalyst.



Figure 6. Effect of NaOH concentration on the rate of sodium sulfate formation.

sulfate) is increased. The rate of sulfate formation does not depend on the alkaline concentration in the absence of catalysts. The dependence of the rate of sodium sulfate production on the NaOH concentration (Figure 6) in the presence of a heterogeneous multi-component oxide catalyst shows that increasing the NaOH concentration from 0 to 1.125 mol/l led to drastic reduction in the rate of sodium sulfate production about 8.0 times. In addition, at a NaOH concentration of 1.125 mol/l,

| Table  | 3.   | The    | concentration  | of   | thiosulfate | and | sodium | sulfite | formation | at | different | NaOH |
|--------|------|--------|----------------|------|-------------|-----|--------|---------|-----------|----|-----------|------|
| concen | trat | ions i | in the absence | of c | atalysts.   |     |        |         |           |    |           |      |

|                           |                              | Time (min) |      |      |      |      |  |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| C <sub>NaOH</sub> (mol/l) | C <sub>products</sub> (mg/l) | 0          | 80   | 150  | 240  | 330  |  |  |
| 0                         | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$              | 0          | 1749 | 2595 | 2551 | 2551 |  |  |
|                           | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$               | 0          | 368  | 651  | 687  | 687  |  |  |
| 0.125                     | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$              | 0          | 1760 | 2450 | 2461 | 2403 |  |  |
|                           | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$               | 0          | 449  | 720  | 768  | 645  |  |  |
| 0.25                      | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$              | 0          | 1560 | 2237 | 2514 | 2434 |  |  |
|                           | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$               | 0          | 424  | 710  | 834  | 897  |  |  |
| 0.625                     | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$              | 0          | 1560 | 2350 | 2556 | 2479 |  |  |
|                           | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$               | 0          | 499  | 746  | 784  | 680  |  |  |
| 1.125                     | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$              | 0          | 2182 | 2584 | 2538 | 2488 |  |  |
|                           | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$               | 0          | 520  | 716  | 782  | 672  |  |  |

|       |                                 |   | -    |      |      |      |
|-------|---------------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|
| 0     | S <sub>S2O3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | 0 | 2749 | 2648 | 2373 | 2217 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 592  | 309  | 163  | 102  |
| 0.125 | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$                 | 0 | 2835 | 2795 | 2565 | 2467 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 683  | 585  | 437  | 338  |
| 0.25  | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$                 | 0 | 2652 | 2502 | 2370 | 2258 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 738  | 517  | 430  | 367  |
| 0.625 | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$                 | 0 | 2632 | 2587 | 2519 | 2519 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 720  | 696  | 647  | 605  |
| 1.125 | $S_{S2O3}^{2-}$                 | 0 | 2660 | 2769 | 2769 | 2769 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 706  | 693  | 667  | 607  |

Table 4. The concentration of thiosulfate and sodium sulfite formation at different NaOH concentrations in the presence of KS.

Table 5. The concentration of thiosulfate and sodium sulfite formation at different NaOH concentrations in the presence of a multi-component catalyst.

| 0     | Ss202 <sup>2-</sup>             | 0 | 2682 | 1985 | 1156 | 475  |
|-------|---------------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|
| 0     | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 293  | 61   | 61   | 61   |
| 0.125 | S <sub>S2O3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | 0 | 2600 | 2050 | 1540 | 1080 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 480  | 271  | 215  | 126  |
| 0.25  | S <sub>S2O3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | 0 | 2727 | 2057 | 1792 | 1463 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 469  | 328  | 273  | 209  |
| 0.625 | S <sub>S2O3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | 0 | 3243 | 2938 | 2780 | 2581 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 613  | 591  | 496  | 492  |
| 1.125 | S <sub>S2O3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> | 0 | 3365 | 3261 | 3261 | 3261 |
|       | $S_{SO3}^{2-}$                  | 0 | 448  | 432  | 432  | 432  |

the rate of sulfate formation in the presence of a heterogeneous multi-oxide catalyst even lowers the rate of sulfate formation in the absence of catalysts.

The investigation results (Tables 3–5) show that the thiosulfate concentration in the system is increased sharply at the initial time of the oxidation reaction of sodium sulfide. The concentration of sodium sulfite formed was 5–10 times lower in comparison with sodium thiosulfate, which is explained by the higher rate of oxidation of sulfite to sulfate. The thiosulfate concentration



Figure 7. Influence of oxidation products on the initial rate of sodium sulfide oxidation.

of subsequent oxidation decreased slightly. The stability of the thiosulfate ion in the absence of catalysts and in high alkaline concentration is due to its stable tetrahedral structure, which is similar to that of the sulfate ion.[34]

The influence of products on the initial rate of sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of a multi-component catalyst is shown in Figure 7. It can be seen that thiosulfate and sulfite have led to an increase in the rate of sulfide oxidation; in this case, sulfate inhibits the oxidation rate. As shown in Figure 6, the combined influence of all products on the rate of sodium sulfide oxidation is always zero; this result provides previous evidence that the reaction order does not depend on sulfide concentration, but has the first order with respect to oxygen concentration.

#### 3. Conclusion

In this paper the effectivity of different transition metal oxides deposited on the polymeric matrix in the oxidation of sodium sulfide was studied. For a comparison of the catalytic activity, one should keep in mind that if the metal ions are present in different oxidation states, *e.g.* +2 and +3, the metal ion with the lower oxidation state must first be oxidized into the higher oxidation state in order to show catalytic activity.

Manganese oxide and its mixtures with other transition metal oxides are the most effective catalysts in the oxidation of sodium sulfide in aqueous alkaline solution. The synergistic effect of two or three catalytically active transition metal oxides is positive; mixtures of three transition metal oxides show the maximum synergistic effect in the oxidation of sodium sulfide.

The kinetics of formation of the products of sodium sulfide oxidation in the presence of a multicomponent oxide catalyst was studied. It is shown that the reduction of alkaline concentration increases the rate of sodium sulfide oxidation and the rate of sodium sulfate formation in the presence of a multi-component oxide catalyst and KS, and with the non-catalytic reaction, the rate stays constant. Sodium thiosulfate in a weakly alkaline medium is oxidized to sulfate solution only in the presence of catalysts. The reaction order was defined, which has zero order with respect to sulfide concentration and first order with respect to oxygen concentration.

Investigation of factors influencing the oxidation rate led to the following results: (1) a high oxidation rate is observed with respect to the weakly alkaline solution; (2) the antioxidant (irganox-1010) inhibited the oxidation rate and (3) the combined action of all oxidation products had no influence on the reaction rate.

#### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1. Materials

All reagents were obtained from KAZPELEN and were of the highest grade available and used without further purification. During experiments, the following oxides of the metals with variable valence were used: MnO<sub>2</sub> (Russia, GOST 4470-79), CuO (Russia, GOST 16539-79), NiO (Russia, GOST 4331-78), TiO<sub>2</sub> (Russia, GOST 9808-84), V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>(Russia, MRTU 6-09-6594-70), Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Russia, GOST 2912-79), MoO<sub>3</sub> (Russia, TU 2611-002-469133-2002), Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Russia, GOST 4173-77), cobalt oxide (II, III) (Russia, GOST 4467-79) and cobalt phthalocyanine (Russia, TU 6-07-1135-78). The polymer used was a type of high polymer made from polyethylene, known commercially as KAZPELEN no. 15313-003. Stock sulphide solutions were made by dissolving the 9-watered sodium sulfide in deionized water and used within 1 h of preparation to minimize losses due to aerial oxidation. Deionized water was used in all experiments. All other solvents used were of analytical grade.



Figure 8. Oxidation tower apparatus.

#### 4.2. Catalyst preparation

Laboratory samples of the heterogeneous catalysts were prepared by roll-mixing the catalytically reactive component (transition metal oxides or phthalocyanine cobalt) with the molten polymer at 150°C until a uniform mass was obtained, after which shavings with a thickness of 0.25 mm were formed (specific surface area of about  $150 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ ). These catalysts can be prepared in the form of granules (particle size  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  mm), with the same equipment used to obtain carbon black concentrates or dye concentrates in polyethylene or polypropylene production. Packing elements can be prepared from the catalyst granules in casting machines.[35] The metal oxide content, expressed as wt.% of metal oxide in the catalyst samples, was determined by means of chemical analysis (example CuO-5 – the heterogeneous catalyst contains 5.0% cuprum oxide by mass). After washing the catalyst with distilled water for 10 h at 60°C, it was used in labs for testing.

#### 4.3. Experimental procedures and analytical methods

 $Na_2S$  oxidation in aqueous NaOH solution was made in an air bubbling vessel of oxidation tower. The oxygen was fed into the reaction solution with the exact concentration of  $Na_2S$  in the presence of the catalytic agent which was being tested at a speed of 301/h. The solution inside the reactor was stirred at a speed of 1400 rpm. The temperature of the reaction solution was maintained at 60°C with a thermally controlled magnetic mixer (Figure 8).

The concentration of Na<sub>2</sub>S in the solution was measured using the potentiometric titration method with an aqueous AgNO<sub>3</sub> solution.[36] The concentration of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was determined using spectrophotometry (SS1207UV regime A,  $\lambda = 450$  nm, L = 50.0 mm), and the concentration of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> including Na<sub>2</sub>S was determined using iodometry.[37]

#### 4.4. Catalyst characterization

The catalysts based on copper and manganese oxides (MnO<sub>2</sub>, CuO) were used for testing. Characterization of the catalyst surface before and after three months of use was done by digital microscope (Keyence-VH-Z500R) and Fourier spectrometer (Infralium-FT-08).

#### References

Sada E, Kumazawa H, Hashizume I, Shimono M, Sakaki T. Oxidation of aqueous sodium sulfide solutions with activated carbon. Ind Eng Chem Res. 1987;26 (9):1782–1787.

- [2] Zhang H, Chen C, Liu R, Xu Q, ZhaoW. Transition-metal-free highly efficient aerobic oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides under mild conditions. Molecules. 2010;15 (1):83–92.
- [3] Hoffinan MR, Lim BC. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation jf sulfide by oxigen: Catalitics by homogenious metalphtalocyanyne complexes. Environ Sci. Technol. 1979;13 (11):1406–1414.
- [4] Huang RL, Espenson JH. Molecular oxygen reactions catalyzed by an oxorhenium (V) Compound. J Mol Catal A Chem. 2001;168:39–46.
- [5] Aldea R, Alper H. Selective aerobic oxidation of sulfides using a novel palladium complex as the catalyst precursor. J Org Chem. 1995;60:8365–8366.
- [6] Zhou, XT, Ji HB, Cheng Z, Xu, JC, Pei LX, Wang LF. Selective oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides catalyzed by ruthenium (III) meso-tetraphenylporphyrin chloride in the presence of molecular oxygen. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2007;17:4650–4653.
- [7] Song GQ, Wang F, Zhang H, Lu XL, Wang C. Efficient oxidation of sulfides catalyzed by transition metal salts with molecular oxygen in the presence of aldehydes. Syn Commun. 1998;28:2783–2787.
- [8] Firouzabadi H, Iranpour N, Zolfigol MA. Selective and effcient transformation of thioethers to their sulfoxides and catalytic conversion of thiols to the disulfides with hydrate iron (III) and copper (II) nitrates in aprotic organic solvents or under solvent free conditions. Syn Commun. 1998;28:1179–1187.
- Martin SE, Rossi LI. An efficient and selective aerobic oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides catalyzed by Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-FeBr<sub>3</sub>. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001;42:7147–7151.
- [10] Okun NM, Tarr JC, Hilleshiem DA, Zhang L, Hardcastle KI, Hill CL. Highly reactive catalysts for aerobic thioether oxidation. The Fe-substituted polyoxometalate/hydrogen dinitrate system. J Mol Catal A Chem. 2006;246: 11–17.
- [11] Dell'Anna MM, Mastrorilli P, Nobile CF. Nobile, Aerobic oxidation of sulfides catalyzed by cobalt (II) complexes under homogeneous and heterogeneous conditions. J Mol Catal A Chem. 1996;108:57–62.
- [12] Boring E, Geletii YV, Hill CL. A homogeneous catalyst for selective 315 O(2) oxidation at ambient temperature diversity-based discovery and mechanistic investigation of thioether oxidation by the Au(III)Cl(2)NO(3)(thioether)/O(2) system. J Am Chem Soc. 2001;123:1625–1635.
- [13] Akhmadullina AG, Akhmadullin RM. On New Development and implementation of desulfurization of hydrocarbons. Chem Technol Fuels Oils. 2008;44 (6):371–378 (in Russian).
- [14] Akhmadullina AG, Kizhaev BV, Nurgalieva GM, Shabaeva AS, Tugushi SO, Haritonov NV. Heterogenous catalytic demercaptanization light hydrocarbons. Refining Petrochem. 1994;2:39–42 (in Russian).
- [15] Akhmadullina AG, Akhmadullin RM, Aghajanian SI, Mukmeneva NA. Heterogeneous catalytic oxidation of 2,6di-tert-butyl-phenol to 3,3', 5,5'-tetra-tert-butyl-4, 4'-difenohinona using polymeric catalyst tetrahlorftalotsianina cobalt. J Technol. 2009;2:64–70 (in Russian).
- [16] Marshneva VI, Mokrinskii VV, Dubkov KA. A New Type of Catalysts for Claus Process. Mechanism and Kinetic; 1st European Congr. Catal.; EUROCAT-1: Montpellier: France; 1993 (in Russia).
- [17] Anatoli AD, Marshneva VI, Shepotko ML. Metal oxides in hydrogen sulfide oxidation by oxygen and sulfur dioxide I. The comparison study of the catalytic activity. Mechanism of the interactions between H<sub>2</sub>S and SO<sup>2</sup> on some oxides. J Adv Catal. 1982;31:77–133.
- [18] Blunt F, Hendra P, Mackenzie I. The laser Raman spectra of salts containing the anions  $O^{2-}$  and  $O^{2-}_2$ . Chem Commun. 1969;6:278–279.
- [19] Che M, Tench AJ. Tench, Characterization and reactivity of mononuclear oxygen species on oxide surfaces. Adv Catal. 1973;8:135–157.
- [20] Lunsford J. ESR of Absorbed Oxygen Species. Appl Catal A: Gen. 2003;244:93–100.
- [21] Bielanski A, Haber J. Oxygen in catalysis on transition metal oxides. Adv Catal. 1979;19:1-41.
- [22] Neves EA, Coichev N, Gebert J, Klockow D. Fresenius Z. Autoxidation of cobalt (II) in azide containing medium in presence of sulfur (IV): an interpretative study. Anal Chem. 1989;335:386–389.
- [23] Coichev N, Eldik V. Kinetics and mechanism of the sulfite- induced autoxidation of cobalt (II) in aqueous azide medium. Inorg Chem. 1991;30:2375–2380.
- [24] Anast JM, Margerum DW. Trivalent Copper Catalysis of the Autoxidation of Sulfite Inorg Chem. 1981;20:2319– 2326.
- [25] Brandt, Ch, Eldik V. Transition Metal-Catalyzed Oxidation of Sulfur (IV) Oxides. Atmospheric-Relevant Processes and Mechanisms. Chem Rev. 1995;95 (1):119–190.
- [26] Groisman A. Sh, Khomutov NE. Solubility of oxygen in electrolyte solutions. Chem Rev. 1990;59;707–727.
- [27] John RA, Boudart M. editors. Catalysis: Science and Technology. New York: Springer; 1982.
- [28] Kotronarou A, Sigg L. Sulfur Dioxide Oxidation in Atmospheric Water: Role of Iron (II) and Effect of Ligands. Environ Sci Technol. 1993;27:2725–2735.
- [29] Brandt Ch. [Ph.D. Thesis]. Kinetic and mechanistic studies of the iron (III) catalyzed autoxidation of sulfur (IV) oxides. Germany: University of Witted Herdecke; 1994.
- [30] Burgess J. Ions in Solution: Basic Principles of Chemical Interactions. Ellis Horwood Limited Publishers: Chichester; 1999.
- [31] Arakawa K, Seguchi T, Hayakawa N, Machi S. Radiation-induced oxidation of polymers. Effect of antioxidant and antirad agent on oxygen consumption and gas evolution. J Pol Sci Pol Chem. 2003;21(4):1173–1181.
- [32] Mayer J, Szreder T. Electron transfer in pulse irradiated polypropylene film containing irganox type antioxidant. Radiat Phys Chem. 2002;63:161–164.
- [33] Ueno Y, Williams A, Murry FE. A new method for sodium sulfide 374 removal from an aqueous solution and application to industrial wastewater and sludge. Water, Air and Soil Pollut. 1979;11:23–42.

- 12 B.D. Nhi et al.
- [34] Nardelli M, Fava G. Crystallographic structure of the thiosulfate ion. ActaCryst. 1962;15:477-489.
- [35] Mazgarov AM, Vildanov AF, Arhireeva I, Olga OA, Aiupova NR. AS no. 1041142, 1983; http://www.findpatent.ru/ patent/200/2000139.html (in Russian).
- [36] Akhmadullina AG. GOST 22985-90, 1991; http://www.gosthelp.ru/gost/gost1966.html (in Russian).
- [37] Lurie YY. Analytical Chemistry of Industrial Wastewater; Khimiya: Moscow, Russia; 1984.